arXiv:hep-ph/0310221v5 5 Apr 2004

Exotic baryons and the large-N_c expansion

P. V. Pobylitsa
Institute for Theoretical Physics II,
Ruhr University Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
and
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute,
Gatchina, St. Petersburg, 188300, Russia

The status of exotic baryons is analyzed in the limit of a large number of colors N_c. Several toy models reproducing the main features of the large-N_c QCD are studied in order to clarify the recent controversy concerning the consistency of various approaches to the $1/N_c$-expansion for exotic baryons. The analysis reveals a number of contradictions between the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion and the rigid-rotator approximation, including the problems of this approximation in the description of exotic baryons.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] of the Θ^+ baryon with a mass of 1540 MeV close to the values predicted in Refs. [6, 7] has led to considerable excitement in hadronic physics. One of the main ingredients involved in the prediction of Θ^+ [6, 7] is the limit of the large number of the QCD colors N_c and the $1/N_c$-expansion. In spite of the numerical agreement between the theoretical prediction of Refs. [6, 7] and the experimental data, recently certain doubts have been expressed in Refs. [8, 9, 10, 11] concerning the consistency of the methods used in Refs. [6, 7] with the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion.

As is well known, the standard picture of large-N_c baryons assumes that they can be described by a mean-field solution of some effective theory equivalent to the large-N_c QCD [12]. The precise action of this effective theory is not known. Nevertheless, the general properties of large-N_c baryons can be derived from the symmetry of the mean-field solution. It is assumed that the mean-field solution violates both the flavor $SU(3)$ group and the group $SO(3) \sim SU(2)$ of usual space rotations. However, the mean field is supposed to be invariant under combined $SU(2)$ rotations in the flavor and in the usual space. The realization of this symmetry can be found in various models ranging from the naive quark model to the Skyrme model [13, 14, 15]. At large N_c these models lead to certain relations, which are not sensitive to peculiarities of different models. These model-independent relations are believed to hold also in the large-N_c QCD. Many of these results can be derived using large-N_c consistency relations [16, 17, 18, 19].

In the approaches based on the mean-field solutions of effective theories, one should properly treat the symmetries violated by the mean field. In principle this problem can be solved in every order of the $1/N_c$-expansion. However, sometimes the systematic large-N_c analysis is replaced by the rigid-rotator approximation. In the chiral limit the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian has the simple form

$$H_{\mathrm{rot}} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{J_a^2}{2I_1} + \sum_{a=4}^{7} \frac{J_a^2}{2I_2}\,, \tag{1}$$

where J_a are generators of the $SU(3)$ group and I_k are the "moments of inertia" of the rotator. Although the structure of the effective rigid-rotator Hamiltonian (1) is inspired by the large-N_c limit, the rigid-rotator approximation is not equivalent to the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion. Therefore the results based on the rigid-rotator approximation should be taken with certain caution.

The analysis of exotic states in Refs. [6, 7] was based on the effective Hamiltonian (1). The moments of inertia I_k grow with N_c:

$$I_k = O(N_c)\,. \tag{2}$$

Therefore the low-lying states described by the Hamiltonian (1) have the excitation energy $O(N_c^{-1})$. These low-lying $O(N_c^{-1})$ excitations have nonexotic quantum numbers coinciding with the quantum numbers which can be obtained in the naive quark model with N_c colors.

In Ref. [20] it is argued that the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian (1) can be applied to describe exotic states whose excitation energy is $O(N_c^0)$. On the other hand, the authors of Refs. [8, 9, 10, 11] claim that the extension of the rigid-rotator approach to the exotic sector is inconsistent with the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion.

The argumentation of Ref. [20] includes a toy model of exotic states (electrically charged quantum particle moving in the field of a static monopole) which was studied earlier in Ref. [21]. Within this toy model the relevance of the rigid-rotator approximation for the description of the exotic states was explicitly checked in Ref. [20]. On the other hand, the objections of Refs. [8, 9, 10, 11] are mainly based on the fact that the $O(N_c^0)$-excitations should be described by the quadratic form of fluctuations around the mean field and not by the effective rotational Hamiltonian.

In order to clarify this controversy, we have chosen several toy models which reproduce many properties of QCD, including the large-N_c counting for baryons. In these models we compare the rigid-rotator approximation with the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion.

One of our models is exactly solvable. In contrast to the toy model considered in Ref. [20], the exactly solvable model studied in this paper reveals several inconsistencies of the rigid-rotator approximation. In short, these problems can be described as follows.

1) The rigid-rotator approximation produces correct quantum numbers for the low-lying (nonexotic) $O(N_c^{-1})$ excitations but leads to wrong values of the energy.

2) The spectrum of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian contains $O(N_c^0)$ exotic excitations which are absent in the exact solution of the toy model.

One can also construct a systematic $1/N_c$-expansion without using the exact solution. In this paper we compute the two first orders of the $1/N_c$-expansion for the energy spectrum. We also find the spin-dependent part of the next-to-next-to-leading (NNL) order. Since our model contains fermion variables, the equations appearing in the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion are quite similar to the well-known equations of the many-body physics [22]. In particular, the leading $O(N_c)$ order of the $1/N_c$-expansion is described by the Hartree equation. The next-to-leading (NL) $O(N_c^0)$ order leads to the large-N_c version of the RPA (random phase approximation) equations. The spin dependent part of the NNL $O(N_c^{-1})$ order is described by the Thouless–Valatin formula [23], whereas the rigid-rotator approximation leads to the Inglis formula [24] for the same quantity, which shows the incompatibility of the rigid-rotator approximation with the $1/N_c$-expansion.

The main part of the paper is devoted to the models containing colored fermions. In addition we consider another class of models based on local actions with color-singlet boson fields. We show that in a general case the rigid-rotator approximation does not work in the exotic sector of these models either.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section II, a brief description of the spectrum of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian is given. In Section III we describe the general structure of the $1/N_c$-expansion for models with colored fermions in terms of Hartree and RPA equations. In Section IV we introduce an exactly solvable toy model with colored fermions. The exact solution of this model is described in Section V. In Section VI we construct the $1/N_c$-expansion for the energy spectrum of the toy model in the leading and next-to-leading order. The spectrum in the NNL order is studied in Section VII. The discrepancy between the rigid-rotator model and the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion is discussed in Section VIII. In Section IX we revisit the toy model of Refs. [20, 21] where the rigid-rotator approximation was successful, we show that this success is accidental. In Conclusions we summarize the results obtained in the toy models and make comments on the relevance of these results for the exotic baryons in large-N_c QCD.

II. RIGID-ROTATOR APPROXIMATION

We begin with a brief review of the properties of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian (1). This Hamiltonian acts in the space of the wave functions $\psi(R)$ depending on the $SU(3)$ matrices R. Operators J_a appearing in Eq. (1) are generators of the right $SU(3)$ rotations

$$\left[\exp\left(i\omega_a J_a\right)\psi\right](R) = \psi\left[R\,\exp(i\omega_a\lambda_a/2)\right] \quad (a = 1, 2, \ldots, 8). \tag{3}$$

Here λ_a are Gell-Mann matrices. The components $J_{1,2,3}$ are directly interpreted as spin operators, whereas the spectrum of the component J_8 is constrained by the condition [14]

$$J_8 = -\frac{N_c B}{2\sqrt{3}}\,, \tag{4}$$

where B is the baryon charge.

The left rotations with generators T_a

$$\left[\exp\left(i\omega_a T_a\right)\psi\right](R) = \psi\left[\exp(-i\omega_a\lambda_a/2)R\right] \tag{5}$$

are interpreted as $SU(3)_{\mathrm{fl}}$ flavor transformations.

In the space of the rigid-rotator wave functions $\psi(R)$ one has the identity

$$\sum_{a=1}^{8} T_a T_a = \sum_{a=1}^{8} J_a J_a \,. \tag{6}$$

In terms of the standard (p,q) nomenclature of the irreducible $SU(3)$ representations, generators T_a and J_a belong to complex conjugate representations (p,q) and (q,p) respectively. The Casimir operator is

$$\sum_{a=1}^{8} T_a T_a = \sum_{a=1}^{8} J_a J_a = C_2^{SU(3)}(p,q) = C_2^{SU(3)}(q,p) = \frac{1}{3}\left(p^2+q^2+pq\right) + p + q \,. \tag{7}$$

Together with the J_8 constraint (4) this leads to the well-known problem of the $1/N_c$-expansion for the baryonic states in the case of the $SU(3)_{\rm fl}$ flavor group: in the large-N_c limit all traditional (octet, decuplet,...) and exotic (e.g. antidecuplet) representations of $SU(3)_{\rm fl}$ are lost. Instead, one has to work with the large-N_c (p,q) representations [25] imitating octet, decuplet, antidecuplet etc. The condition (4) leads to the following constraint on the allowed (p,q) representations

$$p + 2q \geq N_c \,. \tag{8}$$

In particular, the large-N_c analogs of the main $SU(3)_{\rm fl}$ multiplets are
1) octet: $p=1$, $q=(N_c-1)/2$,
2) decuplet: $p=3$, $q=(N_c-3)/2$,
3) antidecuplet: $p=0$, $q=(N_c+3)/2$.

The eigenvalues of the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\rm rot}$ corresponding to the $SU(3)_{\rm fl}$ representation (p,q) and to the spin J (for $B=1$ states) are

$$E_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{6I_2}\left[p^2+q^2+pq+3(p+q)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2I_1}-\frac{1}{2I_2}\right) J(J+1) - \frac{N_c^2}{24I_2} \,. \tag{9}$$

Following Ref. [20], one can define the "exoticness" parameter (in order to avoid the confusion with the energy we replace notation E, used in Ref. [20], by ξ)

$$\xi = \frac{1}{3}(p+2q-N_c) \tag{10}$$

running over the nonnegative integer values $\xi = 0,1,2,\ldots$

Then

$$E_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{2I_2}\left[\frac{N_c}{2}(\xi+1) + 3\frac{\xi}{2}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}+1\right) + \frac{p}{2}\left(\frac{p}{2}+1\right)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2I_1}-\frac{1}{2I_2}\right) J(J+1) \,. \tag{11}$$

Let us consider the limit

$$N_c \to \infty \,, \quad p, \xi = \text{const} \,. \tag{12}$$

The spin J is constrained by the condition

$$\frac{|p-\xi|}{2} \leq J \leq \frac{p+\xi}{2} \,. \tag{13}$$

In the case $\xi = 0$ we have

$$p + 2q = N_c \,, \quad J = \frac{p}{2} \quad (\xi = 0) \tag{14}$$

so that the spectrum (11) has the form

$$E_{\rm rot} = \frac{N_c}{4I_2} + \frac{1}{2I_1} J(J+1) \quad (\xi = 0) \,. \tag{15}$$

In the case of arbitrary ξ we find from Eq. (11) in the limit (12)

$$E_{\rm rot} = \frac{N_c}{4I_2}(\xi+1) + O(N_c^{-1}). \tag{16}$$

This expression shows that the low-lying states have $\xi = 0$. According to Eq. (15) the splitting between the $\xi = 0$ states is controlled by the $J(J+1)$ term and has the order $O(N_c^{-1})$. On the contrary, the states with $\xi \neq 0$ have $O(N_c^0)$ excitation energies.

III. $1/N_c$-EXPANSION FOR FERMION SYSTEMS IN TERMS OF HARTREE AND RPA EQUATIONS

In this section we describe the general structure of the $1/N_c$-expansion for fermionic systems with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{A_1A_2A_3A_4} V_{A_1A_2|A_3A_4} \left(\sum_{c'=1}^{N_c} \psi^+_{A_1c'} \psi_{A_2c'} \right) \left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \psi^+_{A_3c} \psi_{A_4c} \right) . \tag{17}$$

Here ψ_{Ac} are fermionic operators ("quarks") with the "color" index $c = 1, 2, \ldots, N_c$. Index A covers other degrees of freedom. We assume the standard fermion commutation relations

$$\{\psi_{Ac}, \psi^+_{A'c'}\} = \delta_{AA'}\delta_{cc'} . \tag{18}$$

The Hilbert space of states can be constructed by acting with the operators ψ^+_{Ac} on the "vacuum" $|0\rangle$ obeying the condition

$$\psi_{Ac}|0\rangle = 0 . \tag{19}$$

The hermiticity of the Hamiltonian implies that

$$V^*_{A_1A_2|A_3A_4} = V_{A_4A_3|A_2A_1} . \tag{20}$$

We also assume

$$V_{A_1A_2|A_3A_4} = V_{A_3A_4|A_1A_2} . \tag{21}$$

The interaction (17) is $SU(N_c)$ invariant. We have no local gauge symmetry since the model has no space: the fermions are "pinned" at one point. The factor of $1/N_c$ is separated in the RHS of Eq. (17) in order to keep V fixed in the limit $N_c \to \infty$.

One way to construct the $1/N_c$-expansion is to use the traditional operator approach. Then one arrives at the large-N_c version of the Hartree and RPA equations. These equations are well known in the many-body physics [22] as well as their connection with the $1/N$-expansion [26]. Alternatively one can derive the $1/N_c$-expansion using the path integral approach which is described in Appendix A.

At large N_c the energy E of the low-lying states can be expanded as

$$E = N_c E_1 + E_0 + N_c^{-1} E_{-1} + \ldots \tag{22}$$

In the leading order of the $1/N_c$-expansion the ground state energy is given by the Hartree approximation:

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2} V_{AB|A'B'} P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA} P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{B'A'} . \tag{23}$$

Here $P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}$ is the projector onto the single-particle occupied states $\phi^{(n)}_B$

$$P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA} = \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \phi^{(i)}_B \phi^{(i)*}_A , \tag{24}$$

which can be found by solving Hartree equations:

$$\pi_{AB} \phi^{(n)}_B = \varepsilon_n \phi^{(n)}_B , \tag{25}$$

$$\pi_{AB} = V_{AB|A'B'} \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \phi^{(i)*}_{A'} \phi^{(i)}_{B'} = V_{AB|A'B'} P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{B'A'} . \tag{26}$$

In order to find the $O(N_c^0)$ correction to the energy of the ground state and the energy of the $O(N_c^0)$ excitations one has to solve the large-N_c version of RPA equations

$$\sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}} \sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}} \left(A_{minj} X^\nu_{nj} + B_{minj} Y^\nu_{nj} \right) = \Omega_\nu X^\nu_{mi} , \tag{27}$$

$$\sum_{n:\text{nonocc}}\sum_{j:\text{occ}}\left(B^*_{minj}X^\nu_{nj}+A^*_{minj}Y^\nu_{nj}\right)=-\Omega_\nu Y^\nu_{mi}\,. \tag{28}$$

Here indices i,j correspond to occupied Hartree states whereas indices m,n stand for nonoccupied states. We use the notation

$$A_{minj}=(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i)\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij}+W_{mijn}\,, \tag{29}$$

$$B_{minj}=W_{minj}\,, \tag{30}$$

$$W_{abcd}=\sum_{ABCD}\phi^{(a)*}_A\phi^{(b)}_B\phi^{(c)*}_C\phi^{(d)}_D V_{AB|CD}\,. \tag{31}$$

Although equations (27), (28) are quite similar to the standard RPA equations (see e.g. Ref. [22]), Eqs. (27), (28) have several different features. First, the color indices do not appear in Eqs. (27), (28). Second, we have dropped those terms of the standard RPA equations whose contribution is $1/N_c$-suppressed. Next, our RPA equations are formulated in terms of the Hartree and not Hartree–Fock occupation picture (the Fock term is a $1/N_c$-correction which can be treated separately). The path integral derivation of Eqs. (27), (28) can be found in Appendix A.

The RPA equations (27), (28) can be written in the compact form

$$SZ^\nu=\Omega_\nu\Sigma Z^\nu\,, \tag{32}$$

where

$$Z^\nu=\begin{pmatrix}X^\nu\\ Y^\nu\end{pmatrix},\quad \Sigma=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{33}$$

$$S=\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\B^*&A^*\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{34}$$

Using Eqs. (29) and (30), we find

$$S_{minj}=\begin{pmatrix}(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i)\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij}+W_{mijn} & W_{minj}\\ W^*_{minj} & (\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i)\delta_{mn}\delta_{ij}+W^*_{mijn}\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{35}$$

Once the RPA equations (27), (28) are solved, the $O(N_c^0)$ part E_0 in the expansion (22) of the energy equals

$$E_0=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m:\text{nonocc}}\sum_{i:\text{occ}}(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i)+\sum_\nu\Omega_\nu\left(n_\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\,. \tag{36}$$

In the last term on the RHS the summation is over the positive RPA eigenfrequencies $\Omega_\nu>0$ (if any). The harmonic excitations are parametrized by the integer numbers $n_\nu=0,1,2,\ldots$ The expression (36) for E_0 includes several contributions. In addition to the pure RPA term it contains the Fock contribution and the correction corresponding to the fact that our Hamiltonian (17) is not normally ordered.

The calculation of the $O(N_c^{-1})$ contribution to the $1/N_c$-expansion (22) requires more work. In this paper we consider only the spin-dependent part of the $O(N_c^{-1})$ contribution for so called rotational excitations. This part of the $O(N_c^{-1})$ correction is given by the Thouless–Valatin formula [23] whose derivation in the context of the $1/N_c$-expansion is considered in Appendix B.

IV. TOY MODEL

We want to study a toy quantum mechanical model imitating the $SU(N_c)_{\text{color}}\otimes SU(2)_{\text{spin}}\otimes SU(3)_{\text{fl}}$ symmetry of QCD. The Hamiltonian of this model is a generalization of the Lipkin–Meshkov–Glick model [27]:

$$H_{\text{toy}}=\frac{1}{2N_c}\sum_{f_1,f_2,f_1',f_2'=1}^{3}\quad\sum_{s_1,s_2,s_1',s_2'=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{c'=1}^{N_c}\psi^+_{f_2's_2'c'}\psi_{f_1's_1'c'}\right)$$

$$\times V_{f_2's_2'f_1's_1'|f_2s_2f_1s_1}\left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c}\psi^+_{f_2s_2c}\psi_{f_1s_1c}\right) . \tag{37}$$

Here ψ_{fsc} are fermionic operators ("quarks") with the "flavor" index $f = 1, 2, 3$, the "spin" index $s = 1, 2$ and the "color" index $c = 1, 2, \ldots, N_c$. They obey the standard anticommutation relations

$$\{\psi_{f_1s_1c_1}, \psi^+_{f_2s_2c_2}\} = \delta_{f_1f_2}\delta_{s_1s_2}\delta_{c_1c_2} . \tag{38}$$

Introducing the compact multiindex notation

$$A = (f, s); \quad f = 1, 2, 3; \quad s = 1, 2 , \tag{39}$$

we see that model (37) belongs to the class of models (17).

The $SU(2)_{\text{spin}} \otimes SU(3)_{\text{fl}}$ symmetry allows the following structure of V

$$V_{f_2's_2'f_1's_1'|f_2s_2f_1s_1} = \sum_{m=1}^{2}\sum_{n=1}^{2} b_{mn}\Sigma^m_{s_2's_1'|s_2s_1}\Phi^n_{f_2'f_1'|f_2f_1} , \tag{40}$$

where

$$\Sigma^1_{s_2's_1'|s_2s_1} = \delta_{s_2's_1'}\delta_{s_2s_1} , \tag{41}$$

$$\Sigma^2_{s_2's_1'|s_2s_1} = \delta_{s_2's_1}\delta_{s_1's_2} , \tag{42}$$

$$\Phi^1_{f_2'f_1'|f_2f_1} = \delta_{f_2'f_1'}\delta_{f_2f_1} , \tag{43}$$

$$\Phi^2_{f_2'f_1'|f_2f_1} = \delta_{f_2'f_1}\delta_{f_1'f_2} , \tag{44}$$

and b_{mn} are arbitrary coefficients. With this choice of V we have

$$H_{\text{toy}} = \frac{1}{2N_c}\left[b_{11}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{f's'c'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{fsc}\right) + b_{12}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{fs'c'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{f'sc}\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + b_{21}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{f'sc'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{fs'c}\right) + b_{22}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{fsc'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{f's'c}\right)\right] . \tag{45}$$

V. EXACT SOLUTION OF THE TOY MODEL

Let us diagonalize Hamiltonian (45). It is convenient to consider the pair of indices (39) as an $SU(6)$ multiindex. As shown in Appendix C, the fermionic realization of the $SU(6)$ Casimir operator is

$$C_2^{SU(6)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{fsc'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{f's'c}\right) - \frac{1}{12}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{f's'c'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{fsc}\right) . \tag{46}$$

Let us define the "fermion number"

$$N_\psi = \psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{fsc} , \tag{47}$$

"spin"

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2}\psi^+_{fs'c}(\sigma_i)_{s's}\psi_{fsc} \tag{48}$$

and "flavor" generators

$$T_a = \frac{1}{2}\psi^+_{f'sc}(\lambda_a)_{f'f}\psi_{fsc} , \tag{49}$$

where σ_i and λ_a are Pauli and Gell-Mann matrices respectively. Using identity (C.3) from Appendix C, we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} J_i^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{f'sc'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{fs'c}\right) - \frac{1}{4}N_\psi^2 \,, \tag{50}$$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{8} T_a^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^+_{f's'c'}\psi_{fs'c'}\right)\left(\psi^+_{fsc}\psi_{f'sc}\right) - \frac{1}{6}N_\psi^2 \,. \tag{51}$$

Now the Hamiltonian (45) can be rewritten in the form

$$H_{\mathrm{toy}} = \frac{1}{2N_c}\left[b_{11}N_\psi^2 + b_{12}\left(2\sum_{a=1}^{8}T_a^2 + \frac{1}{3}N_\psi^2\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + b_{21}\left(2\sum_{i=1}^{3}J_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}N_\psi^2\right) + b_{22}\left(2C_2^{SU(6)} + \frac{1}{6}N_\psi^2\right)\right] \,. \tag{52}$$

This expression solves the problem of the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian. Indeed, all operators appearing here,

$$C_2^{SU(6)} \,, \quad N_\psi, \quad \sum_{a=1}^{8}T_a^2 \,, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3}J_i^2 \,, \tag{53}$$

commute with each other.

We are interested in the "baryonic" color-singlet states containing N_c quarks

$$\varepsilon_{c_1c_2\ldots c_{N_c}}\psi^+_{f_1s_1c_1}\psi^+_{f_2s_2c_2}\ldots\psi^+_{f_{N_c}s_{N_c}c_{N_c}}|0\rangle \,. \tag{54}$$

For these states

$$N_\psi = N_c \,. \tag{55}$$

The states (54) are totally symmetric in the $SU(6)$ multiindices $A_k = (f_k s_k)$ and correspond to the $SU(6)$ irreducible representation of dimension

$$D = \frac{(N_c+5)!}{5!N_c!} \tag{56}$$

with the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator

$$C_2^{SU(6)} = \frac{5}{12}N_c\left(N_c+6\right) \,. \tag{57}$$

This irreducible $SU(6)$ representation has the following decomposition in terms of $SU(2)_{\mathrm{spin}} \otimes SU(3)_{\mathrm{fl}}$ irreducible representations:

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{[N_c/2]}\left[(J^{(k)})_{SU(2)} \otimes (p^{(k)}, q^{(k)})_{SU(3)}\right] \,. \tag{58}$$

Here $[N_c/2]$ stands for the integer part of $N_c/2$. The $SU(2)$ spins $J^{(k)}$ are given by

$$J^{(k)} = \frac{N_c}{2} - k \quad (k = 0, 1, \ldots, [N_c/2]) \tag{59}$$

and the $p^{(k)}, q^{(k)}$ parameters of the $SU(3)_{\mathrm{fl}}$ irreducible representations are

$$p^{(k)} = N_c - 2k, \quad q^{(k)} = k \,. \tag{60}$$

For the squared spin operator we obviously have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} J_i^2 = J^{(k)}(J^{(k)}+1)\,. \tag{61}$$

Using Eqs. (7), (59), (60), we obtain

$$\left.\sum_{a=1}^{8} T^a T^a\right|_{(p^{(k)},q^{(k)})} = \frac{1}{12}N_c^2 + \frac{1}{2}N_c + J^{(k)}(J^{(k)}+1)\,. \tag{62}$$

Now we find the spectrum of energies of the toy model inserting Eqs. (55), (57), (61), (62) into Eq. (52)

$$E_{\rm toy}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ b_{11}N_c + b_{12}\left[\frac{1}{2}N_c + 1 + \frac{2}{N_c}J^{(k)}(J^{(k)}+1)\right]\right.$$

$$\left. + b_{21}\left[\frac{2}{N_c}J^{(k)}(J^{(k)}+1) + \frac{1}{2}N_c\right] + b_{22}\left(N_c+5\right)\right\}\,. \tag{63}$$

If we concentrate on the states with $J^{(k)} = O(N_c^0)$, then the large-N_c structure of this exact result is as follows:

$$E_{\rm toy}^{(k)} = N_c E_1 + E_0 + \frac{1}{N_c}E_{-1}^{(k)}\,, \tag{64}$$

where

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[b_{11} + b_{22} + \frac{1}{2}\left(b_{12}+b_{21}\right)\right]\,, \tag{65}$$

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\left(b_{12} + 5b_{22}\right)\,, \tag{66}$$

$$E_{-1}^{(k)} = \left(b_{12}+b_{21}\right)J^{(k)}(J^{(k)}+1)\,. \tag{67}$$

The spins $J^{(k)}$ (59) and the $SU(3)_{\rm fl}$ representations $(p^{(k)},q^{(k)})$ (60) of states, appearing in the spectrum of the toy model, coincide with the quantum numbers (14) of the nonexotic $\xi = 0$ states in the rigid-rotator model. Moreover, the $J^{(k)}$ dependence of the $N_c^{-1}E_{-1}^{(k)}$ part of the energy (67) has the same $J(J+1)$ form as the spectrum of the rigid rotator (15). However, the states with a nonzero "exoticness" (10) $\xi \neq 0$ are absent in the exact solution of the toy model.

VI. LARGE-N_c APPROACH TO THE TOY MODEL: LEADING AND NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDERS

In the previous section we extracted the $1/N_c$-expansion (64) for the spectrum of the toy model directly from the exact solution (63). On the other hand, it is instructive to construct the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion for the toy model directly, without using the exact solution. The general formalism of the $1/N_c$-expansion for fermion systems was described in Section III. Now we want to apply this formalism to the toy model (45).

We have to solve Hartree equations (25), (26). In principle, these equations have many solutions describing various branches of the spectrum of our model. We are interested in the solution corresponding to the baryonic states (color-singlet states with $N_\psi = N_c$). In order to find this solution, let us make use of the analogy with the large-N_c QCD. In QCD the large-N_c baryons are described by a mean-field solution (of some unknown effective theory) which has a combined $SU(2)$ symmetry with respect to simultaneous space and flavor rotations. In the toy model we are also interested in the solutions of Eqs. (25), (26) which are invariant under combined spin-flavor $SU(2)$ rotations. Let us introduce projectors $P^{(k)}$ $(k = 1,2,3)$ compatible with this spin-flavor symmetry:

$$P^{(1)}_{f_2 s_2 f_1 s_1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{f_1 f_2}\delta_{s_1 s_2} + \delta_{f_1 s_2}\delta_{s_1 f_2}\right)(1-\delta_{f_1 3})(1-\delta_{f_2 3})\,, \tag{68}$$

$$P^{(2)}_{f_2 s_2 f_1 s_1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{f_1 f_2}\delta_{s_1 s_2} - \delta_{f_1 s_2}\delta_{s_1 f_2}\right)(1-\delta_{f_1 3})(1-\delta_{f_2 3})\,, \tag{69}$$

$$P^{(3)}_{f_2 s_2 f_1 s_1} = \delta_{s_1 s_2}\delta_{f_1 3}\delta_{f_2 3}\,. \tag{70}$$

Using compact multiindex notation (39), we can write

$$\sum_B P^{(k)}_{AB} P^{(l)}_{BC} = \delta^{kl} P^{(k)}_{AC}, \tag{71}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} P^{(k)}_{AB} = \delta_{AB}\,. \tag{72}$$

The dimensions of these projectors can be read from their traces:

$$\mathrm{Sp} P^{(1)} = 3\,, \quad \mathrm{Sp} P^{(2)} = 1\,, \quad \mathrm{Sp} P^{(3)} = 2\,. \tag{73}$$

Now let us consider the linear combination of these projectors

$$\pi_{AB} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \varepsilon_k P^{(k)}_{AB}\,. \tag{74}$$

Combining this with Eq. (71), we see that π_{AB} obeys the equation

$$\sum_B \pi_{AB} P^{(k)}_{BC} = \varepsilon_k P^{(k)}_{AC}\,. \tag{75}$$

Comparing this equation with Eq. (25), we conclude that representation (74) is compatible with the Hartree equation (25).

We are interested in the baryonic states which correspond to occupying one level with N_c quarks. The one-dimensional projector $P^{(2)}$ is a perfect candidate for this occupied level. Therefore we take in Eq. (24)

$$P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{AB} = \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \phi^{(i)}_A \phi^{(i)*}_B = P^{(2)}_{AB}\,. \tag{76}$$

Since the projector $P^{(2)}_{AB}$ is one-dimensional, the sum in Eq. (76) contains only one occupied level:

$$\phi^{(i)}_{fs} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{fs} \quad (\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1). \tag{77}$$

Now equation (26) leads us to the following result:

$$\pi_{AB} = V_{AB|A'B'} P^{(2)}_{B'A'}\,. \tag{78}$$

The leading order of the $1/N_c$-expansion for the energy E (22) is given by the Hartree term (23). Using Eq. (76), we find

$$E = \frac{N_c}{2} P^{(2)}_{B'A'} V_{A'B'|AB} P^{(2)}_{BA} + O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{79}$$

With the explicit expressions for $V_{A'B'|AB}$ (40) and for $P^{(2)}$ (69) we easily find

$$E = \frac{N_c}{2}\left[b_{11} + b_{22} + \frac{1}{2}(b_{12} + b_{21})\right] + O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{80}$$

This coincides with the leading $O(N_c)$ part (65) of the exact solution (64).

According to Eqs. (71), (74) the single-particle energies are given by the following expression:

$$\varepsilon_k = \frac{\mathrm{Sp}(\pi P^{(k)})}{\mathrm{Sp} P^{(k)}} = \frac{P^{(2)}_{BA} V_{AB|A'B'} P^{(k)}_{B'A'}}{\mathrm{Sp} P^{(k)}}\,. \tag{81}$$

Using the explicit expressions for $P^{(k)}$ (68) – (70) and for V (40), we find

$$\varepsilon_1 = b_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\left(b_{12} + b_{21}\right) , \tag{82}$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = b_{11} + b_{22} + \frac{1}{2}\left(b_{12} + b_{21}\right) , \tag{83}$$

$$\varepsilon_3 = b_{11} + \frac{1}{2} b_{21} . \tag{84}$$

In the toy model (45) all RPA eigenfrequencies Ω_ν (32) vanish (see Appendix D) so that the harmonic excitations are absent. According to Eq. (36), in the absence of the harmonic excitations the $O(N_c^0)$ correction E_0 to the energy of the ground state is given by the equation

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:\text{nonocc}} \sum_{i:\text{occ}} (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i) , \tag{85}$$

where the summation runs over the single-particle occupied states ε_i and nonoccupied states ε_m with the weights corresponding to their degeneracy. In our case according to Eq. (73) the degeneracy of non-occupied levels ε_1 and ε_3 is 3 and 2 respectively, whereas the occupied level ε_2 is not degenerate. Therefore we find from Eqs. (82), (83), (84), (85)

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\left[3(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) + 2(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2)\right] = \frac{5}{2} b_{22} + \frac{1}{2} b_{12} . \tag{86}$$

This result agrees with the expression for E_0 (66) obtained from the exact solution.

VII. ROTATIONAL EXCITATIONS IN THE $1/N_c$-EXPANSIONS

As mentioned above, the mean-field solution π_{AB} (78) violates both $SU(2)_{\text{spin}}$ and $SU(3)_{\text{fl}}$ symmetries but it is invariant under combined spin-flavor $SU(2)$ rotations. Therefore the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion should involve a correct treatment of the collective coordinates corresponding to the rotation of the mean field. Since the spin rotation of the mean field is equivalent to the flavor rotations, it is sufficient to consider only the $SU(3)$ flavor rotations. The invariance of the mean field under the λ_8 transformations leads to the J_8 constraint (4).

The dependence of the energy on the spin J appears in the order $O(N_c^{-1})$ and has the form

$$\Delta E_J = \frac{J(J+1)}{2I} , \tag{87}$$

where $I = O(N_c)$. In the framework of the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion the "moment of inertia" I can be computed using the large-N_c version of the Thouless–Valatin formula [23] (see Appendix B). Applying this formula to the toy model, we find [see Eqs. (E.2), (E.3) in Appendix E]

$$I = \frac{N_c}{4} \sum_{i_1 i_2:\text{occ}} \sum_{m_1 m_2:\text{nonocc}} \begin{pmatrix} \langle i_1|\lambda_3|m_1\rangle \\ \langle m_1|\lambda_3|i_1\rangle \end{pmatrix}^T \left(S^{-1}\right)_{m_1 i_1 m_2 i_2} \begin{pmatrix} \langle m_2|\lambda_3|i_2\rangle \\ \langle i_2|\lambda_3|m_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} . \tag{88}$$

Here S is the matrix (34) entering the large-N_c RPA equation (32). Strictly speaking, matrix S is degenerate so that expression (88) has to be rewritten in a more careful form. The details can be found in Appendix E. The resulting expression for I in the toy model (45) is given by Eq. (E.6)

$$I = \frac{N_c}{2\left(b_{12} + b_{21}\right)} . \tag{89}$$

Inserting this expression into Eq. (87), we obtain

$$\Delta E_J = \frac{b_{12} + b_{21}}{N_c} J(J+1) , \tag{90}$$

which agrees with the N_c^{-1} part (67) of the exact expression for the energy.

VIII. PROBLEMS OF THE RIGID-ROTATOR APPROXIMATION

The expression (88) for the moment of inertia I requires the knowledge of the RPA matrix S. The detailed description of this matrix is given in Section III [see Eq. (35)]. If one omits the direct V contribution to S [represented by the W in the RHS of Eq. (35)] then one arrives at the following approximation for S:

$$S_{m_1 i_1 m_2 i_2} \approx \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{i_1 i_2} (\varepsilon_{m_1} - \varepsilon_{i_1}) \,. \tag{91}$$

We stress that this approximation is not justified by the large-N_c limit.

Inserting this approximate expression into Eq. (88), one obtains the Inglis expression for the moment of inertia [24]

$$I_1 = \frac{N_c}{2} \sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}} \frac{\langle i|\lambda_3|m\rangle\langle m|\lambda_3|i\rangle}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i} \,. \tag{92}$$

This expression can be also "derived" in the rigid-rotator approximation if one concentrates only on the rotational degrees of freedom instead of the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion (see Appendix F and Ref. [28]). In this way one arrives at the matrix (F.4)

$$I_{ab} = \frac{N_c}{4} \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\,\mathrm{nonocc}} \frac{\langle i|\lambda_a|m\rangle\langle m|\lambda_b|i\rangle + \langle i|\lambda_b|m\rangle\langle m|\lambda_a|i\rangle}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i} \,. \tag{93}$$

Here the occupied state i is associated with the projector $P^{(2)}$ (69), whereas the nonoccupied states m correspond to the projectors $P^{(1)}$ (68) and $P^{(3)}$ (70). Due to the $SU(2)$ spin-flavor symmetry of the mean field, the matrix I_{ab} is diagonal:

$$I_1 \equiv I_{11} = I_{22} = I_{33} \,, \tag{94}$$

$$I_2 \equiv I_{44} = I_{55} = I_{66} = I_{77} \,. \tag{95}$$

The transition $\varepsilon_2 \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_1$ in Eq. (93) contributes to the moment of inertia I_1, whereas the transition $\varepsilon_2 \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_3$ generates I_2. We find from Eq. (93):

$$I_{ab} = \frac{N_c}{4} \sum_{m=1,3} \left. \frac{\mathrm{Sp}(P^{(i)}\lambda_a P^{(m)}\lambda_b + P^{(i)}\lambda_b P^{(m)}\lambda_a)}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i} \right|_{i=2} \,, \tag{96}$$

which results in

$$I_1 = \frac{N_c}{2(-b_{22})} \,, \tag{97}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{N_c}{2(-2b_{22} - b_{12})} \,. \tag{98}$$

In the rigid-rotator approximation the spectrum of low-lying $O(N_c^{-1})$ excitations is described by Eq. (15):

$$\frac{J(J+1)}{2I_1} \,. \tag{99}$$

This expression has the same structure as Eq. (87) derived in the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion. However, comparing the rigid-rotator moment of inertia I_1 (97) with the correct expression I (89), we see that the rigid-rotator approximation gives a wrong result:

$$I_1 \neq I \,. \tag{100}$$

The reason is obvious: approximation (91) is not justified by the large-N_c limit. Approximation (91) has the same problem in the case of the model with the flavor group $SU(2)$, see Appendix G. Since the Inglis formula (92) fails already in the nonexotic sector of $O(N_c^{-1})$ excitations, there is no sense to discuss the results based on the Inglis expression for I_2. Moreover, as mentioned above, the states of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian (1) with a nonzero "exoticness" (10) $\xi \neq 0$ are simply absent in the spectrum of the exact solution of the toy model (45).

IX. LARGE-N_c MODELS WITH COLOR-SINGLET BOSON FIELDS

The large-N_c counting in QCD is compatible with the large-N_c behavior in various models: naive quark model, Skyrme model [13, 14], Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model (NJL) [29], chiral quark-soliton model (CQSM) [28] etc. Some of these models (e.g. NJL) contain only colored fermion fields, others (e.g. Skyrme model) involve only color-singlet bosonic fields, some models such as CQSM contain both color-singlet boson fields and colored quark fields. Let us concentrate on two extreme cases of models with local (in time) actions containing

1) colored fermion fields,
2) color-singlet boson fields.

In spite of the difference between these two groups, the analysis of the $1/N_c$-expansion has many common features. Indeed, we can bosonize the interaction between the fermions and integrate out the fermions (see Appendix A). In this way one arrives at a theory with color-singlet boson field π but the action $S[\pi]$ of this theory is *nonlocal.*

Whatever action $S[\pi]$ we have, local or nonlocal, the spectrum of $O(N_c^0)$ excitations

$$E = \text{const} + \sum_\nu \Omega_\nu n_\nu + O(N_c^{-1})\,, \qquad\qquad n_\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{101}$$

is given by frequencies $\omega = \Omega_\nu$, which are determined by the equation

$$\det K(\omega) = 0\,. \tag{102}$$

Here $K(\omega)$ is the quadratic form of the boson action $S[\pi]$ in the frequency representation:

$$K(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_c}\int dt e^{-i\omega t}\frac{\delta^2 S[\pi]}{\delta\pi(t)\delta\pi(0)}. \tag{103}$$

In theories with nonlocal actions $S[\pi]$, obtained via a bosonization of local theories with colored fermions, one can reduce equation (102) to RPA equations as shown in Appendix A.

In theories with local actions $S[\pi]$ quadratic in time derivatives, we have the following structure of the quadratic form $K(\omega)$:

$$K(\omega) = -K_2\omega^2 + iK_1\omega + K_0\,. \tag{104}$$

In this case Eq. (102) is equivalent to the existence of a periodic solution of the equation

$$\left(K_2\partial_t^2 + K_1\partial_t + K_0\right)\delta\pi(t) = 0 \tag{105}$$

with the frequency ω. This equation has a simple physical meaning: it describes periodic solutions of the classical equations of motion corresponding to an infinitesimal deviation from the static solution. In a general case this problem cannot be reduced to the diagonalization of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian.

However, in Ref. [20] (see also Ref. [21]) a simple model was considered whose spectrum of $O(N_c^0)$ excitations contains a branch which can be successfully described by the rigid-rotator approximation. This model involves a particle with mass μ and electric charge e, moving in the 3-dimensional space in the field $\mathbf{H}$ of a monopole with magnetic charge g

$$\mathbf{H} = g\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} = \nabla\times\mathbf{A} + [\text{string field}]\,. \tag{106}$$

In addition the model has a central potential V so that the total Lagrangian is

$$L = \frac{\mu\dot{\mathbf{x}}^2}{2} - e(\dot{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{A}) - V(|\mathbf{x}|)\,. \tag{107}$$

If one interprets this Lagrangian as a sort of effective theory for large-N_c "baryons" coming from some "microscopic" theory, then the large-N_c limit corresponds to the semiclassical limit for the Lagrangian (107):

$$\mu = O(N_c), \quad V = O(N_c)\,, \tag{108}$$

$$eg = \frac{1}{2}N_c B\,, \quad B = O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{109}$$

Here the integer number B has the meaning of the "baryon charge" in the underlying "microscopic" large-N_c theory. The representation (109) for eg agrees with the Dirac quantization rule for the magnetic charge.

As shown in Ref. [20], this large-N_c inspired semiclassical limit justifies the reduction of the model to the rigid-rotator Lagrangian

$$L_{\rm rot} = \int dt \left[\frac{I}{2} \left(\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 \right) + eg \Omega_3 \right] . \tag{110}$$

for a subset of $O(N_c^0)$ excitations. Here Ω_a is the angular velocity. The moment of inertia I is given by the equation

$$I = \mu R^2 \,, \quad V'(R) = 0. \tag{111}$$

The Lagrangian (110) corresponds to the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{2I} (J_1^2 + J_2^2) \tag{112}$$

with the quantization constraint

$$J_3' = eg = \frac{N_c B}{2} \,. \tag{113}$$

Here J_3' is the projection of the momentum J on the third axis in the "body-fixed" frame. The spectrum of J is

$$J = \frac{N_c |B|}{2}, \frac{N_c |B|}{2} + 1, \frac{N_c |B|}{2} + 2, \ldots \tag{114}$$

As a result the spectrum of energies is

$$E = \frac{1}{2I} \left[J(J+1) - (J_3')^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2I} \left[J(J+1) - \left(\frac{N_c B}{2} \right)^2 \right] . \tag{115}$$

By analogy with Eq. (10) one can introduce the "exoticness"

$$\xi = J - \frac{N_c |B|}{2} = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{116}$$

Then one finds from Eq. (115)

$$E = E_\xi = \frac{1}{2I} \left[\frac{N_c |B|}{2} (2\xi + 1) + \xi(\xi + 1) \right] . \tag{117}$$

In the limit $I \sim N_c \to \infty$ we have equidistant $O(N_c^0)$ excitations parametrized by ξ:

$$E_\xi = \frac{N_c |B|}{4I} (2\xi + 1) + O(1/N_c) \,. \tag{118}$$

As shown in Ref. [20], the "exotic" spectrum (118) of the rigid rotator (110) coincides (up to $1/N_c$ corrections) with a part of the true spectrum of the original model (107). This observation was used as an argument in favor of the validity of the rigid-rotator approach to exotic states.

Let us check that the spectrum (118) is described by one of the frequencies ω determined by the general equation (102). The full spectrum of the harmonic excitations can be found from the solutions of equation (105). Due to the symmetry of the problem, the equation of motion (105) can be split into two parts. In the case of radial infinitesimal oscillations $\delta x_{rad}(t)$ controlled by the central potential, one can ignore the K_1 term associated with the magnetic field:

$$\left(K_2 \partial_t^2 + K_0 \right) \delta x_{rad}(t) = 0 \,. \tag{119}$$

We also have the periodic motion $\delta x_{circ}(t)$ along an infinitesimal circle due to the magnetic force. In this case we can omit the term K_0 containing the central potential:

$$\left(K_2 \partial_t^2 + K_1 \partial_t \right) \delta x_{circ}(t) = 0 \,. \tag{120}$$

In the frequency representation Eq. (120) takes the form

$$\left(-\omega^2 K_2 + i\omega K_1\right) \delta\tilde{x}_{circ} = 0\,. \tag{121}$$

This equation has nonzero solutions $\delta\tilde{x}_{circ}$ for two frequencies ω. The zero frequency $\omega = 0$ corresponds to the J_3 degeneracy of the quantum spectrum. The second nonzero frequency

$$\omega = \frac{eH}{\mu} \tag{122}$$

describes the circular motion of the particle with mass μ and charge e in the magnetic field H. This frequency is responsible for the "exotic excitations" (118). Indeed, the magnetic field of the monopole is $H = g/R^2$, and the moment of inertia I is given by Eq. (111) so that

$$\omega = \frac{eg}{I}\,. \tag{123}$$

Using Eqs. (108), (109), (111), we find

$$\omega = \frac{N_c |B|}{2I} = O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{124}$$

This frequency agrees with the spectrum of harmonic excitations (118):

$$E_{\xi+1} - E_\xi = \frac{N_c |B|}{2I} + O(1/N_c)\,. \tag{125}$$

In addition one has excitations determined by the eigenfrequency of Eq. (119).

Thus in the case of the simple model (107) the rigid-rotator approximation leads to the spectrum which is a part of the full $O(N_c^0)$ spectrum determined by Eq. (102). However, this agreement of the rigid-rotator spectrum with the general equation (102) is "accidental". In a general case one has to solve equation (102) or the equivalent problem (105) in order to find the spectrum of $O(N_c^0)$ excitations and this solution has nothing to do with the rigid rotator approximation. In Appendix H we describe an extension of the model (107) which explicitly demonstrates the breakdown of the rigid-rotator approximation.

Let us summarize. Both in the models with colored fermions and in the models with color-singlet bosons the spectrum of the $O(N_c^0)$ excitations is determined by the solutions of equation (102). In a general case the rigid-rotator approximation cannot describe these excitations.

X. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have considered several simple quantum-mechanical models imitating the large-N_c QCD. The main aim was to understand the status of exotic baryons at large N_c. Our results confirm the conservative opinion that the exotic states are only a part of the $O(N_c^0)$ excitations whose properties are determined by the quadratic form of the action written in terms of color-singlet boson fields. Recent attempts to extract reliable information about exotic baryons directly from the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian are incompatible with the results of our analysis.

We have considered two different classes of models. The first group includes models containing colored fermions. The second class of models is based on local actions for color-singlet fields. In both cases the spectrum of the $O(N_c^0)$ excitations is determined by the eigenfrequencies of the quadratic form of the action. But in the case of colored fermions one has to work with the nonlocal bosonized action. Our analysis of this nonlocal quadratic form was based on the reduction of the problem to RPA equations. In the case of models with local actions for color-singlet bosons it is convenient to perform the analysis of the quadratic form in terms of the semiclassical quantization of periodic classical solutions.

We have studied an exactly solvable toy model with colored fermions. We have shown that in the large-N_c limit this model is described by a mean-field solution whose spin-flavor symmetry coincides with the symmetry of the large-N_c baryons in QCD. Using this mean-field solution as a starting point for the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion, we have computed the energy spectrum in the first three orders of the $1/N_c$-expansion. The results of the $1/N_c$-expansion are in complete agreement with the exact spectrum of the model.

We have also tested the rigid-rotator approximation. The results coming from the rigid-rotator approximation are rather disappointing. The effective rotational Hamiltonian derived in the context of the rigid-rotator approximation has exotic baryonic states which are absent in the exact solution of the model.

The rigid-rotator approximation also has problems in the nonexotic sector. Although the rigid-rotator approximation predicts the correct quantum numbers for the nonexotic low-lying $O(N_c^{-1})$ baryonic excitations, the values of the energy coming from the rigid-rotator approximation are wrong. The comparison of the rigid-rotator approximation with the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion allows us to detect the origin of the problem: the rigid-rotator approximation leads to the Inglis formula which ignores the quadratic form of fluctuations around the mean field. On the contrary, the calculation of the rotational spectrum based on the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion results in the Thouless–Valatin formula which involves the coefficients of the RPA equations.

Although our model with colored fermions reproduces the main symmetries of QCD, at one point there is an important difference: the absence of the exotic states in the toy model is simply a model artifact: the "baryonic" states in the model contain only N_c quarks above the bare vacuum. This leaves no possibility to have extra quark-antiquark pairs which could generate exotic quantum numbers. In QCD the situation is different: the existence of exotic states is allowed. However, the question is whether the rigid-rotator approximation can *correctly* describe the exotic baryon states in QCD. Our analysis of the toy model raises doubts about the relevance of the rigid-rotator approximation. Actually it is not a problem to construct solvable models containing exotic states and to show explicitly that the rigid-rotator approximation does not describe properly these exotic states.

We also have considered a group of models with local actions for color-singlet boson fields. This group contains a simple model compatible with the rigid-rotator approximation. However, our analysis shows that this agreement is a consequence of the simplicity of the model. A slight extension of this model leads to the breakdown of the rigid-rotator approximation in the exotic sector.

To summarize, our results agree with the traditional approach to the $O(N_c^0)$ baryonic excitations (including the exotic ones) as states controlled by the quadratic form of fluctuations of the effective action. Within the framework of the large-N_c expansion in QCD (without extra model assumptions) we see no justification of the rigid-rotator approximation.

Certainly, one should be rather careful with the extension of our toy-model experience to the case of the large-N_c QCD. For example, the fermionic toy model is based on the quantum mechanics of spin systems in 0-dimensional space. This model has colored fermions but no gluons and no gauge symmetry. In the model calculations we were interested in the discrete eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, whereas in reality exotic baryons are resonances. One also should keep in mind that this toy model imitates the strict chiral limit of QCD. In the real world the situation is more difficult since one has to deal with a nontrivial interplay between two small parameters: the light-quark mass matrix and $1/N_c$.

Acknowledgments. The author appreciates many interesting and instructive discussions with Ya. I. Azimov, T. D. Cohen, D. I. Diakonov, V. Yu. Petrov and M. V. Polyakov. This work was supported by DFG and BMBF.

APPENDIX A: PATH INTEGRAL DERIVATION OF HARTREE AND RPA EQUATIONS IN THE LARGE-N_c EXPANSION

In this appendix we use the path integral approach in order to construct the $1/N_c$-expansion for models with four-fermionic interaction and show how Hartree and RPA equations appear in the context of the $1/N_c$-expansion.

We start from the Lagrangian corresponding to the model Hamiltonian (17)

$$L = i\psi^+_{Ac}\partial_t\psi_{Ac} - \frac{1}{2N_c}\left(\psi^+_{A'c'}\psi_{B'c'}\right)V_{A'B'|AB}\left(\psi^+_{Ac}\psi_{Bc}\right) . \tag{A.1}$$

One can bosonize the four-fermion interaction, introducing auxiliary variables π_{AB} and integrating out fermion variables ψ, ψ^+ :

$$\int D\psi \int D\psi^+ \exp\left\{ i\int dt \left[i\psi^+_{Ac}\partial_t\psi_{Ac} - \frac{1}{2N_c}\left(\psi^+_{A'c'}\psi_{B'c'}\right)V_{A'B'|AB}\left(\psi^+_{Ac}\psi_{Bc}\right)\right]\right\}$$

$$= \int D\pi \int D\psi \int D\psi^+ \exp\left\{ i\int dt \left[i\psi^+_{Ac}\partial_t\psi_{Ac} - \psi^+_{Ac}\pi_{AB}\psi_{Bc} + \frac{N_c}{2}\pi_{A'B'}(V^{-1})_{A'B'|AB}\pi_{AB}\right]\right\}$$

$$= \int D\pi \exp iS[\pi] . \tag{A.2}$$

Here we have the effective action

$$S[\pi] = N_c\left[-i\ln\det(i\partial_t - \pi) + \frac{1}{2}\int dt\, \pi_{A'B'}(V^{-1})_{A'B'|AB}\pi_{AB}\right] . \tag{A.3}$$

The presence of the factor N_c in this action allows us to apply the saddle point method for the construction of the $1/N_c$-expansion. The saddle point equation is

$$\frac{1}{N_c}\frac{\delta S[\pi]}{\delta \pi_{AB}(t)} = i\langle t, B|\frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi}|t, A\rangle + (V^{-1})_{AB|A'B'}\pi_{A'B'} = 0\,. \tag{A.4}$$

For static saddle points π we have

$$\langle t, B|\frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi}|t, A\rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\sum_n \frac{\phi_B^{(n)}\phi_A^{(n)*}}{\omega - \varepsilon_n} \tag{A.5}$$

where $\phi_B^{(n)}$ and ε_n are eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the matrix π :

$$\pi_{AB}\phi_B^{(n)} = \varepsilon_n \phi_B^{(n)}\,. \tag{A.6}$$

The $\pm i0$ pole uncertainties in Eq. (A.5) should be resolved relying on the physical picture of occupied states

$$-\,i\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\sum_n \frac{\phi_B^{(n)}\phi_A^{(n)*}}{\omega - \varepsilon_n} = \sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}} \phi_B^{(i)}\phi_A^{(i)*} \equiv P_{BA}^{(\mathrm{occ})}. \tag{A.7}$$

After the ω integration, the summation runs only over the occupied single-particle states $\phi^{(i)}$.

Inserting Eqs. (A.5) and (A.7) into the saddle-point equation (A.4), we obtain the Hartree equation

$$\pi_{AB} = V_{AB|A'B'}P_{B'A'}^{(\mathrm{occ})}\,. \tag{A.8}$$

Computing the action (A.3) for this π_{AB}, one easily finds the $O(N_c)$ Hartree energy (23)

$$E = \frac{N_c}{2}V_{AB|A'B'}P_{BA}^{(\mathrm{occ})}P_{B'A'}^{(\mathrm{occ})} + O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{A.9}$$

Now we want to compute the $O(N_c^0)$ corrections. In a general case these corrections will describe the harmonic excitations with some frequencies Ω_ν

$$\Delta E = \sum_\nu n_\nu \Omega_\nu = O(N_c^0)\,, \tag{A.10}$$

where numbers $n_\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are integer. In order to find these frequencies we must consider the quadratic form of the action (A.3) in the frequency representation:

$$K_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = \int dt_1 e^{-i\omega t_1}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\pi_{A_1B_1}(t_1)\delta\pi_{A_2B_2}(0)}\frac{1}{N_c}S[\pi]\,. \tag{A.11}$$

The spectrum of the harmonic excitations (A.10) is determined by the frequencies $\omega = \Omega_\nu$ at which the quadratic form K becomes degenerate:

$$\det K(\Omega_\nu) = 0\,. \tag{A.12}$$

The calculation of the quadratic form of the action (A.3) is straightforward:

$$\frac{1}{N_c}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\pi_{A_1B_1}(t_1)\delta\pi_{A_2B_2}(t_2)}S[\pi]$$

$$= i\langle t_2, B_2|\frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi}|t_1, A_1\rangle\langle t_1, B_1|\frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi}|t_2, A_2\rangle + \left(V^{-1}\right)_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}\delta(t_1 - t_2)\,. \tag{A.13}$$

Now the quadratic form (A.11) can be represented as follows:

$$K_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = iL_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) + \left(V^{-1}\right)_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}\,, \tag{A.14}$$

where

$$L_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) \equiv \int dt_1 e^{-i\omega t_1} \int \langle 0, B_2| \frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi} |t_1, A_1\rangle\langle t_1, B_1| \frac{1}{i\partial_t - \pi} |0, A_2\rangle$$

$$= -i \sum_{i:\text{occ}} \sum_{m:\text{nonocc}} \left[\frac{\langle B_2|i\rangle\langle i|A_1\rangle\langle B_1|m\rangle\langle m|A_2\rangle}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i - \omega - i0} + \frac{\langle B_2|m\rangle\langle m|A_1\rangle\langle B_1|i\rangle\langle i|A_2\rangle}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i + \omega - i0} \right] . \tag{A.15}$$

Here we use the standard Dirac notation

$$\langle A|k\rangle = \langle k|A\rangle^* = \phi_A^{(k)} . \tag{A.16}$$

Taking some antiunitary operator θ (e.g. time reversal)

$$|A^\theta\rangle = \theta|A\rangle, \quad \langle A^\theta|B^\theta\rangle = \langle B|A\rangle , \tag{A.17}$$

$$\pi^\theta = \theta\pi\theta^{-1} \tag{A.18}$$

and introducing compact notation

$$|AB\rangle = |A\rangle \otimes |B\rangle , \tag{A.19}$$

$$D = \pi \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \pi^\theta , \tag{A.20}$$

we can write

$$\langle i|A_1\rangle\langle B_1|m\rangle = \langle B_1|m\rangle\langle A_1^\theta|i^\theta\rangle = \langle B_1A_1^\theta|mi^\theta\rangle , \tag{A.21}$$

$$D|mi^\theta\rangle = (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i)|mi^\theta\rangle . \tag{A.22}$$

Then expression (A.15) takes the form

$$L_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = -i\langle B_1A_1^\theta| \left(P_1 \frac{1}{D - \omega - i0} - P_2 \frac{1}{D - \omega + i0} \right) |A_2B_2^\theta\rangle . \tag{A.23}$$

Here we have introduced projectors

$$P_1 = \sum_{i:\text{occ}} \sum_{m:\text{nonocc}} |mi^\theta\rangle\langle mi^\theta| , \tag{A.24}$$

$$P_2 = \sum_{i:\text{occ}} \sum_{m:\text{nonocc}} |im^\theta\rangle\langle im^\theta| , \tag{A.25}$$

$$P_k P_l = \delta_{kl} P_l . \tag{A.26}$$

Next we define

$$\Sigma = P_1 - P_2 , \tag{A.27}$$

$$Q(\omega) = \Sigma \frac{1}{D - \omega - i0 \cdot \Sigma} . \tag{A.28}$$

Then the RHS of Eq. (A.23) takes the simple form

$$L_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = -i\langle B_1A_1^\theta|Q(\omega)|A_2B_2^\theta\rangle . \tag{A.29}$$

Inserting this into Eq. (A.14), we see that

$$K_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = \langle B_1 A_1^\theta|Q(\omega)|A_2 B_2^\theta\rangle + \left(V^{-1}\right)_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2} . \tag{A.30}$$

Although θ is an antiunitary operator, for any given basis $\{|A\rangle\}$ we can find such a unitary operator U that (for the basis states $|A\rangle$ only)

$$|A^\theta\rangle = U|A\rangle\,. \tag{A.31}$$

Now expression (A.30) becomes

$$K_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2}(\omega) = \langle B_1 A_1|(1\otimes U^+)Q(\omega)(1\otimes U)|A_2 B_2\rangle + \left(V^{-1}\right)_{A_1B_1|A_2B_2} . \tag{A.32}$$

Next we define the permutation operator Π

$$\Pi|AB\rangle = |BA\rangle\,. \tag{A.33}$$

This allows us to rewrite relation (A.32) in the operator form without indices A_k, B_k

$$K(\omega) = \Pi(1\otimes U^+)Q(\omega)(1\otimes U) + V^{-1}\,. \tag{A.34}$$

Let us define

$$\tilde{K}(\omega) = (1\otimes U)\Pi K(\omega)(1\otimes U^+)\,, \tag{A.35}$$

$$\tilde{V} = (1\otimes U)V\Pi(1\otimes U^+)\,. \tag{A.36}$$

Now it follows from Eq. (A.34)

$$\tilde{K}(\omega) = Q(\omega) + \tilde{V}^{-1}\,. \tag{A.37}$$

The next step is to define the projector

$$P = P_1 + P_2\,, \tag{A.38}$$

$$P^2 = P \tag{A.39}$$

and to notice that

$$Q = PQ = QP = PQP\,. \tag{A.40}$$

The operator Q can be inverted on the subspace of the projector P. Let us consider operator $P\left(Q^{-1}+\tilde{V}\right)P$ on this subspace and let R be its inverse:

$$R\left[P\left(Q^{-1}+\tilde{V}\right)P\right] = \left[P\left(Q^{-1}+\tilde{V}\right)P\right]R = P\,, \tag{A.41}$$

$$RP = PR = R\,. \tag{A.42}$$

For brevity we will write

$$R = \left[P\left(Q^{-1}+\tilde{V}\right)P\right]^{-1} = P\left(Q^{-1}+P\tilde{V}P\right)^{-1}P\,, \tag{A.43}$$

implying that all ambiguities should be understood in the sense of Eqs. (A.41), (A.42).

Multiplying equality (A.41) by Q and using Eqs. (A.40), (A.42), we find

$$R + Q\tilde{V}R = Q\,. \tag{A.44}$$

Using Eq. (A.37), we can write

$$\tilde{K}\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}R\tilde{V}\right)=\left(Q+\tilde{V}^{-1}\right)\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}R\tilde{V}\right)=1-R\tilde{V}+\left(Q-Q\tilde{V}R\right)\tilde{V}\,. \tag{A.45}$$

We can simplify the RHS using Eq. (A.44):

$$\tilde{K}\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}R\tilde{V}\right)=1\,. \tag{A.46}$$

Combining this with Eq. (A.43), we find

$$\tilde{K}^{-1}=\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}R\tilde{V}=\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}P\left(Q^{-1}+P\tilde{V}P\right)^{-1}P\tilde{V}\,. \tag{A.47}$$

Inserting Eq. (A.28), we arrive at

$$\tilde{K}^{-1}(\omega)=\tilde{V}-\tilde{V}P\left[\Sigma D+P\tilde{V}P-\omega\Sigma-i0\right]^{-1}P\tilde{V}\,. \tag{A.48}$$

According to Eq. (A.35) we have

$$|\det K(\omega)|=|\det\tilde{K}(\omega)|\,. \tag{A.49}$$

Therefore Eq. (A.12) is equivalent to the equation

$$\det\tilde{K}(\Omega_\nu)=0\,. \tag{A.50}$$

Combining this with Eq. (A.48), we see that the problem reduces to the equation

$$\det\left(\Sigma D+P\tilde{V}P-\Omega_\nu\Sigma\right)=0\,, \tag{A.51}$$

where the determinant must be computed on the subspace of the projector P. This is equivalent to the eigenvalue equation

$$SZ^\nu=\Omega_\nu\Sigma Z^\nu\,, \tag{A.52}$$

where

$$S=\Sigma D+P\tilde{V}P\,. \tag{A.53}$$

Equation (A.52) is nothing else but RPA equation (32). Indeed, according to Eqs. (A.20), (A.22), (A.24), (A.25), (A.27) we have

$$\Sigma D=\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(|mi^\theta\rangle\langle mi^\theta|-|im^\theta\rangle\langle im^\theta|\right)\left(\pi\otimes 1-1\otimes\pi^\theta\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i)\left(|mi^\theta\rangle\langle mi^\theta|+|im^\theta\rangle\langle im^\theta|\right)\,. \tag{A.54}$$

Using Eqs. (A.24), (A.25), (A.31), (A.36), (A.38), we can write

$$P\tilde{V}P=\sum_{i,j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m,n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(|mi^\theta\rangle\langle mi^\theta|+|im^\theta\rangle\langle im^\theta|\right)$$

$$\times(1\otimes U)V\Pi(1\otimes U^+)\left(|nj^\theta\rangle\langle nj^\theta|+|jn^\theta\rangle\langle jn^\theta|\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i,j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m,n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(|mi^\theta\rangle\langle mi|+|im^\theta\rangle\langle im|\right)V\left(|jn\rangle\langle nj^\theta|+|nj\rangle\langle jn^\theta|\right)\,. \tag{A.55}$$

Now we use Eq. (31)

$$P\tilde{V}P = \sum_{i,j:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m,n:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left[|mi^\theta\rangle W_{mijn} \langle nj^\theta| + |im^\theta\rangle W_{imjn} \langle nj^\theta| \right.$$

$$\left. + |mi^\theta\rangle W_{minj} \langle jn^\theta| + |im^\theta\rangle W_{imnj} \langle jn^\theta| \right] . \tag{A.56}$$

Taking the vector Z^ν in the form

$$Z^\nu = \sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left(X_{mi} |mi^\theta\rangle + Y_{mi} |im^\theta\rangle \right) , \tag{A.57}$$

we find using Eqs. (A.27), (A.54), (A.56)

$$\Sigma Z^\nu = \sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left(X^\nu_{mi} |mi^\theta\rangle - Y^\nu_{mi} |im^\theta\rangle \right) , \tag{A.58}$$

$$(\Sigma D) Z^\nu = \sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}} (\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i) \left(X^\nu_{mi} |mi^\theta\rangle + Y^\nu_{mi} |im^\theta\rangle \right) , \tag{A.59}$$

$$\left(P\tilde{V}P \right) Z^\nu = \sum_{i,j:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m,n:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left[|mi^\theta\rangle \left(W_{mijn} X^\nu_{nj} + W_{minj} Y^\nu_{nj} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + |im^\theta\rangle \left(W_{imjn} X^\nu_{nj} + W_{imnj} Y^\nu_{nj} \right) \right] . \tag{A.60}$$

Inserting these expressions into Eqs. (A.52), (A.53) we obtain

$$(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i) X^\nu_{mi} + \sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left(W_{mijn} X^\nu_{nj} + W_{minj} Y^\nu_{nj} \right) = \Omega_\nu X^\nu_{mi} , \tag{A.61}$$

$$(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i) Y^\nu_{mi} + \sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}} \left(W_{imjn} X^\nu_{nj} + W_{imnj} Y^\nu_{nj} \right) = -\Omega_\nu Y^\nu_{mi} . \tag{A.62}$$

Using relations (20), (21), we see that these equations coincide with RPA equations (27), (28).

APPENDIX B: THOULESS–VALATIN FORMULA AT LARGE N_c

In this appendix we derive the large-N_c version of the Thouless–Valatin formula [23] for the moment of inertia controlling $O(N_c^{-1})$ rotational excitations (87). This formula is well known in the many-body physics [22]. The derivation presented below follows the traditional ideas but emphasizes the role of the large N_c in the justification of Thouless–Valatin formula. We start from a rotationally invariant Hamiltonian H commuting with the angular momentum J_k

$$[H, J_k] = 0 . \tag{B.1}$$

In the case of the rotational excitations (87) the dependence on the spin J appears in the order $O(N_c^{-1})$. In principle, the calculation of the NNLO $O(N_c^{-1})$ corrections to the energy requires a serious work. But if one is interested only in the J dependent part of this $O(N_c^{-1})$ contribution, then the result can be computed using Thouless–Valatin formula.

Let us consider the auxiliary Hamiltonian $H - \omega J_3$ instead of the original Hamiltonian H and let us study the ground state energy $E^{(0)}(\omega)$ of the Hamiltonian $H - \omega J_3$ as a function of ω in the interval

$$N_c^{-1} \ll \omega \ll N_c^0 . \tag{B.2}$$

In this intermediate region we can treat ω either as a "numerically small" $O(N_c^0)$ quantity or as a "numerically large" $O(N_c^{-1})$ quantity. Both approaches allow us to derive corresponding expressions for the ground state energy $E^{(0)}(\omega)$.

Matching the results in the intermediate region (B.2), one can obtain a simple representation for the moment of inertia I controlling the $J(J+1)$ splitting (87).

If we consider the case of $\omega = O(N_c^0)$, then the ground state energy $E^{(0)}(\omega)$ of the Hamiltonian $H - \omega J_3$ is given by the ω dependent Hartree energy $N_c E_1(\omega)$

$$E^{(0)}(\omega) = N_c E_1(\omega) + O(N_c^0)\,. \tag{B.3}$$

Let us compute the second order derivative of this expression with respect to ω in the interval (B.2):

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega^2} E^{(0)}(\omega)\bigg|_{N_c^{-1} \ll \omega \ll 1} \approx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega^2} N_c E_1(\omega) \approx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega'^2} N_c E_1(\omega')\bigg|_{\omega'=0}\,. \tag{B.4}$$

Now let us change the approach and consider ω as a "numerically large" $O(N_c^{-1})$ quantity. We start from the $1/N_c$-expansion for the Hamiltonian H (without the ωJ_3 correction)

$$E_J^{(0)} = N_c E_1 + E_0 + \frac{1}{N_c}\left[c_1 J(J+1) + c_2\right] + O(N_c^{-2})\,. \tag{B.5}$$

According to Eq. (B.1) Hamiltonian H commutes with J_3. Therefore we find from Eq. (B.5) for the energy $E^{(0)}(\omega)$ of the ground state of the Hamiltonian $H - \omega J_3$

$$E^{(0)}(\omega) = \min_{J,J_3}\left(E_J^{(0)} - \omega J_3\right)$$

$$= \min_{J,J_3}\left\{N_c E_1 + E_0 + \frac{1}{N_c}\left[c_1 J(J+1) + c_2\right] - \omega J_3 + O(N_c^{-2})\right\}\,. \tag{B.6}$$

Obviously the minimum is achieved at $J_3 = J$ so that

$$E^{(0)}(\omega) = \min_{J}\left\{N_c E_1 + E_0 + \frac{1}{N_c}\left[c_1 J(J+1) + c_2\right] - \omega J + O(N_c^{-2})\right\}\,. \tag{B.7}$$

The point of the minimum is

$$J = \frac{\omega N_c - c_1}{2c_1}\,. \tag{B.8}$$

Due to condition (B.2) we have

$$1 \ll J \approx \frac{\omega N_c}{2c_1} \ll N_c\,. \tag{B.9}$$

Now we find from Eq. (B.7)

$$E^{(0)}(\omega) \approx N_c E_1 + E_0 - \frac{\omega^2 N_c}{4c_1}\,. \tag{B.10}$$

We see that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega^2} E^{(0)}(\omega)\bigg|_{N_c^{-1} \ll \omega \ll 1} \approx -\frac{N_c}{2c_1}\,. \tag{B.11}$$

Combining this result with Eq. (B.4), we see that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega'^2} N_c E_1(\omega')\bigg|_{\omega'=0} = -\frac{N_c}{2c_1}\,. \tag{B.12}$$

Comparing Eqs. (B.5) and (87) we find the connection between the coefficient c_1 and the moment of inertia I:

$$c_1 = \frac{N_c}{2I}\,. \tag{B.13}$$

Now we find from Eq. (B.12)

$$I=-N_c\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega^2}E_1\left(\omega\right)\bigg|_{\omega=0}\,. \tag{B.14}$$

This intermediate result simplifies the calculation of the moment of inertia I. Indeed, in the beginning the problem of the calculation of I was a NNLO problem. But now it is reduced to the expression (B.14) containing only the Hartree energy $N_cE_1\left(\omega\right)$ for the Hamiltonian $H-\omega J_3$, i.e. to the problem of the leading order $O(N_c)$. The calculation of the second order derivative with respect to ω in Eq. (B.14) is equivalent to the construction of the second perturbation theory in ω for the Hartree equation.

Now we have to write the Hartree equation for the Hamiltonian $H-\omega J_3$ with

$$J_3=\frac{1}{2}\psi^+\sigma_3\psi\,, \tag{B.15}$$

where σ_3 is the Pauli matrix acting on the spin indices. Due to the ωJ_3 term the expression for the Hartree energy (23) is modified as follows

$$E_1=\frac{1}{2}V_{AB|A'B'}P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{B'A'}-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB}P^{(occ)}_{BA}\,. \tag{B.16}$$

Let us differentiate this expression with respect to ω:

$$\frac{\partial E_1}{\partial\omega}=h_{AB}\frac{\partial P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}}{\partial\omega}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB}P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}\,. \tag{B.17}$$

Here

$$h_{AB}=V_{AB|A'B'}P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{B'A'}-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB} \tag{B.18}$$

is nothing else but the one-particle Hartree Hamiltonian with the single particle eigenfunctions

$$h_{AB}\phi^{(n)}_B=\varepsilon_n\phi^{(n)}_B \tag{B.19}$$

and with the projector onto the occupied states

$$P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}=\sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}}\phi^{(i)}_B\phi^{(i)*}_A\,. \tag{B.20}$$

The projector $P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}$ depends on the parameter ω of the Hamiltonian $H-\omega J_3$. The standard perturbation theory allows us to compute the derivative

$$\frac{\partial P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}}{\partial\omega}=\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_m}$$

$$\times\left[\langle m|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|i\rangle\phi^{(m)}_B\phi^{(i)*}_A+\langle i|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|m\rangle\phi^{(i)}_B\phi^{(m)*}_A\right]\,. \tag{B.21}$$

Combining this with Eq. (B.19), we see that

$$h_{AB}\frac{\partial P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}}{\partial\omega}=0\,. \tag{B.22}$$

We insert this result into Eq. (B.17)

$$\frac{\partial E_1}{\partial\omega}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB}P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}. \tag{B.23}$$

Next we take the second ω derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1}{\partial\omega^2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB}\frac{\partial P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{BA}}{\partial\omega}. \tag{B.24}$$

Using Eq. (B.21), we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1}{\partial\omega^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_m}$$

$$\times\left[\langle m|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|i\rangle\langle i|\sigma_3|m\rangle + \langle i|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|m\rangle\langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle\right] . \tag{B.25}$$

According to Eq. (B.18) we have

$$\frac{\partial h_{AB}}{\partial\omega} = V_{AB|A'B'}\frac{\partial P^{(\mathrm{occ})}_{B'A'}}{\partial\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB} . \tag{B.26}$$

Inserting expression (B.21), we find

$$\frac{\partial h_{AB}}{\partial\omega} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_3\right)_{AB} + V_{AB|A'B'}\sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_n}$$

$$\times\left[\langle n|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|j\rangle\phi^{(n)}_{B'}\phi^{(j)*}_{A'} + \langle j|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|n\rangle\phi^{(j)}_{B'}\phi^{(n)*}_{A'}\right] . \tag{B.27}$$

Now we take the matrix element of this equation

$$\langle m|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|i\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle$$

$$+\sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_n}\left[W_{mijn}\langle n|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|j\rangle + W_{minj}\langle j|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|n\rangle\right] . \tag{B.28}$$

In the case when the state i is occupied and m is nonoccupied, let us introduce the notation

$$\tilde{a}_{mi} = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i}\langle m|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|i\rangle , \tag{B.29}$$

$$\tilde{b}_{mi} = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i}\langle i|\frac{\partial h}{\partial\omega}|m\rangle . \tag{B.30}$$

Then we find from Eq. (B.28)

$$\left(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i\right)\tilde{a}_{mi} = \langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle - \sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(W_{mijn}\tilde{a}_{nj} + W_{minj}\tilde{b}_{nj}\right) . \tag{B.31}$$

Exchanging $i \leftrightarrow m$ in Eq. (B.28), we obtain the second equation

$$\left(\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_i\right)\tilde{b}_{mi} = \langle i|\sigma_3|m\rangle - \sum_{j:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{n:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(W_{imjn}\tilde{a}_{nj} + W_{imnj}\tilde{b}_{nj}\right) . \tag{B.32}$$

Obviously these equations can be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{i':\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m':\mathrm{nonocc}} S_{mim'i'}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{a}_{m'i'}\\ \tilde{b}_{m'i'}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle\\ \langle i|\sigma_3|m\rangle\end{pmatrix} , \tag{B.33}$$

where $S_{mim'i'}$ is the RPA matrix (35).

Now we find from Eq. (B.25)

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1}{\partial\omega^2} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(\tilde{a}_{mi}\langle i|\sigma_3|m\rangle + \tilde{b}_{mi}\langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle\right) . \tag{B.34}$$

Combining this result with Eq. (B.14), we obtain the moment of inertia

$$I = \frac{N_c}{4}\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(\tilde{a}_{mi}\langle i|\sigma_3|m\rangle + \tilde{b}_{mi}\langle m|\sigma_3|i\rangle\right) . \tag{B.35}$$

The result (B.35) for the moment of inertia I coincides with the well-known Thouless–Valatin formula [23] up to the subtleties which differ our large-N_c matrix S (35) from the standard RPA matrix.

APPENDIX C: $SU(6)$ CASIMIR OPERATOR

In this appendix we derive expression (46) for the $SU(6)$ Casimir operator. The $N^2 - 1$ generators t^a of $SU(N)$ can be normalized by the conditions

$$\mathrm{Sp}(t^a t^b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}\,, \tag{C.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Sp}\, t^a = 0\,, \tag{C.2}$$

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N^2-1} t^a_{AB} t^a_{CD} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{AD}\delta_{BC} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{AB}\delta_{CD}\right)\,. \tag{C.3}$$

In the case of $SU(6)$ the last relation results in

$$\sum_{a=1}^{35} t^a_{AB} t^a_{CD} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{AD}\delta_{BC} - \frac{1}{6}\delta_{AB}\delta_{CD}\right)\,. \tag{C.4}$$

Therefore the fermionic realization of the $SU(6)$ Casimir operator $C_2^{SU(6)}$ is

$$C_2^{SU(6)} = \sum_{a=1}^{35} \sum_{A,B,C,D=1}^{6} \sum_{c,c'=1}^{N_c} (\psi^+_{A,c'} t^a_{AB} \psi_{B,c'})(\psi^+_{C,c} t^a_{CD} \psi_{D,c})$$

$$= \sum_{A,B=1}^{6} \sum_{c,c'=1}^{N_c} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\psi^+_{A,c'}\psi_{B,c'})(\psi^+_{B,c}\psi_{A,c}) - \frac{1}{12}(\psi^+_{A,c'}\psi_{A,c'})(\psi^+_{B,c}\psi_{B,c})\right]\,. \tag{C.5}$$

Returning to the (f,s) form (39) of the $SU(6)$ multiindices, we arrive at Eq. (46).

APPENDIX D: RPA EQUATION IN THE TOY MODEL

In this appendix we describe the structure of the RPA equation for the baryonic states of the toy model (45). In the Hartree picture for these states we have one occupied nondegenerate level (83) and two nonoccupied levels (82), (84) with degeneracies 3 and 2 respectively. This structure of the levels can be easily understood in terms of the unbroken spin-flavor $SU(2)$ symmetry. The generators of this symmetry are

$$G_a = J_a + T_a \quad (a = 1, 2, 3) \tag{D.1}$$

where J_a is spin and T_a is isospin. The occupied state has $G = 0$, whereas the nonoccupied levels have $G = 1/2$ and $G = 1$ with the corresponding degeneracies $2G+1$. The RPA equation also can be split into two sectors corresponding to $G = 1/2$ and $G = 1$. The nontrivial part of the RPA equation corresponds to the $G = 1$ sector. The RPA matrix S can be computed using the general expressions (35), (31), the explicit form of $V_{A_1A_2|A_3A_4}$ in the toy model (40) and the results for the single-particle levels (82), (83). In the $G = 1$ sector the RPA matrix S looks as follows

$$S_{mini} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{12} + b_{21})\,\delta_{mn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (m, n: \quad G = 1)\,. \tag{D.2}$$

The precise form of this matrix depends on the phase conventions for the single-particle states. We fix the phases using the condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle m|\lambda_a|i\rangle \\ \langle i|\lambda_a|m\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{am} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, m = 1, 2, 3)\,. \tag{D.3}$$

Here we label the states $|m\rangle$ of the $G = 1$ nonoccupied level with numbers $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Inserting the result for the matrix S (D.2) into the general RPA equation (32), we see that the RPA equation has only zero eigenfrequencies $\Omega_\nu = 0$ in agreement with the absence of the harmonic excitations in the exact spectrum of the toy model.

APPENDIX E: ROTATIONAL EXCITATIONS IN THE TOY MODEL

In this appendix we compute the moment of inertia which determines the spectrum of rotational excitations of baryonic states in the toy model (45), using Eqs. (B.33), (B.35) derived in Appendix B.

In models, where the solution of Hartree equations has the spin-flavor symmetry, the projector $P^{(\mathrm{occ})}$ onto the occupied states commutes with the generators of the combined spin-flavor rotations:

$$[P^{(\mathrm{occ})},(\sigma_a+\tau_a)]=0\,. \tag{E.1}$$

Here the Pauli matrices σ_a, τ_a act on spin and flavor indices respectively. This symmetry allows us to obtain an equivalent representation for the moment of inertia by replacing $\sigma_3 \to -\tau_3$ in Eqs. (B.33), (B.35). In the case of the $SU(3)$ flavor group we must use the Gell-Mann matrix λ_3 instead of τ_3. As a result we arrive at the following representation for the moment of inertia

$$I=\frac{N_c}{4}\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\left(a_{mi}\langle i|\lambda_3|m\rangle+b_{mi}\langle m|\lambda_3|i\rangle\right)\,, \tag{E.2}$$

where a_{mi} and b_{mi} are solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i':\mathrm{occ}}\sum_{m':\mathrm{nonocc}}S_{mim'i'}\begin{pmatrix}a_{m'i'}\\ b_{m'i'}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\langle m|\lambda_3|i\rangle\\ \langle i|\lambda_3|m\rangle\end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{E.3}$$

and S is the RPA matrix (34).

We can rewrite Eq. (E.2) in the form (88) but one must keep in mind that matrix S is degenerate. Using expression (D.2) for $S_{mim'i'}$ in the toy model, we find from Eq. (E.3)

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{12}+b_{21}\right)\sum_{m'=1}^{3}\delta_{mm'}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_{m'i}\\ b_{m'i}\end{pmatrix}=\delta_{m3}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{E.4}$$

The solution of this equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix}a_{mi}\\ b_{mi}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{b_{12}+b_{21}}\delta_{m3}\begin{pmatrix}1+c\\ 1-c\end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{E.5}$$

where c is an uncertain constant. This constant cancels when we insert Eq. (E.5) into Eq. (E.2):

$$I=\frac{N_c}{4}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left(a_{mi}\langle i|\lambda_3|m\rangle+b_{mi}\langle m|\lambda_3|i\rangle\right)=\frac{N_c}{4}(a_{mi}+b_{mi})$$

$$=\frac{N_c}{2}\frac{1}{b_{12}+b_{21}}\,. \tag{E.6}$$

APPENDIX F: RIGID-ROTATOR LAGRANGIAN

In this appendix we describe the structure of the effective rigid-rotator Lagrangian for models containing colored fermions. Let π_0 be a static solution of the Hartree equations (A.6), (A.8). If R is a transformation from the symmetry group G of the theory, then $R\pi_0R^{-1}$ is also a solution of Hartree equations. Now let us apply a time-dependent transformation $R(t)$ to the static solution π_0

$$\pi(t)=R(t)\pi_0R^{-1}(t)\,. \tag{F.1}$$

Let us compute the bosonized action $S[\pi]$ (A.3) for this time-dependent configuration. The action (A.3) is nonlocal. However, for slowly changing transformations $R(t)$ one can use the "adiabatic approximation" leading to the Lagrangian

$$L_{\mathrm{rot}}=\frac{1}{2}I_{ab}\Omega_a\Omega_b+w_a\Omega_a\,. \tag{F.2}$$

Here Ω_a is the angular velocity

$$\Omega = \Omega_a t_a = -iR^{-1}\dot{R} \tag{F.3}$$

and t_a are generators of the group G. The parameters of the Lagrangian L_{rot} are given by equations

$$I_{ab} = N_c \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \sum_{m:\,\mathrm{nonocc}} \frac{\langle i|t_a|m\rangle\langle m|t_b|i\rangle + \langle i|t_b|m\rangle\langle m|t_a|i\rangle}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i}\,, \tag{F.4}$$

$$w_a = -N_c \sum_{i:\,\mathrm{occ}} \langle i|t_a|i\rangle \tag{F.5}$$

where the summation runs over occupied (i) and nonoccupied (m) eigenstates (A.6) of the single-particle Hartree Hamiltonian.

It should be stressed that this "derivation" of the effective Lagrangian (F.2) is inspired by the large-N_c limit but not equivalent to the systematic $1/N_c$-expansion.

APPENDIX G: MODEL WITH $SU(2)$ FLAVOR GROUP

The main part of this paper is devoted to the toy model (45) with the flavor symmetry $SU(3)$. In this appendix we consider the $SU(2)$ analog of this model. Let us take the same Hamiltonian (37)

$$H_{\mathrm{toy}} = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{f_1,f_2,f_1',f_2'=1}^{2} \quad \sum_{s_1,s_2,s_1',s_2'=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{c'=1}^{N_c} \psi^{+}_{f_2's_2'c'}\psi_{f_1's_1'c'}\right)$$

$$\times V_{f_2's_2'f_1's_1'|f_2s_2f_1s_1} \left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \psi^{+}_{f_2s_2c}\psi_{f_1s_1c}\right) \tag{G.1}$$

but now the indices f_k, f_k' run only over the values $1, 2$. The coefficients $V_{f_2's_2'f_1's_1'|f_2s_2f_1s_1}$ are determined by Eq. (40). The diagonalization of this Hamiltonian is quite similar to the case of the $SU(3)$ model. In the baryonic sector we have the states with spin J equal to isospin T

$$J = T = \frac{N_c}{2}, \frac{N_c}{2} - 1, \frac{N_c}{2} - 2, \ldots \tag{G.2}$$

The spectrum of energies of these states is

$$E = \frac{1}{2N_c}\left\{ b_{11}N_c^2 + b_{22}N_c(N_c+3) + (b_{12}+b_{21})\left[\frac{1}{2}N_c^2 + 2J(J+1)\right]\right\}. \tag{G.3}$$

One can describe this spectrum in terms of the $1/N_c$-expansion. The Hartree equations corresponding to the $1/N_c$-expansion make a subset of equations that we had in the $SU(3)$ case. The single-particle energies $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are given by the same equations (82), (83).

The leading $O(N_c)$ part of the energy coincides with the $SU(3)$ expression (65) because it is determined by the same expression for the Hartree energy (79). The $O(N_c^{-1})$ "rotational" $J(J+1)$ part of the energy also coincides with the $SU(3)$ case since it comes from the RPA matrix S. The nontrivial part of the $SU(3)$ matrix S coincides with the $SU(2)$ matrix S. Therefore the calculation of the moment of inertia, performed in Appendix E for the $SU(3)$ case, is also valid for $SU(2)$. The $O(N_c^0)$ contribution to the energy is given by equation (85) where we must use the levels (82), (83): $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_1$. Since the level ε_1 has degeneracy 3, we obtain

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m:\mathrm{nonocc}}\sum_{i:\mathrm{occ}}(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i) = -\frac{3}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) = \frac{3}{2}b_{22}\,, \tag{G.4}$$

which agrees with the $O(N_c^0)$ part of the exact solution (G.3).

APPENDIX H: EXTENDED MONOPOLE MODEL

In this appendix we consider an extended version of the Guadagnini model (107) and show that in this extended model the picture of "exotic" states relying on the rigid-rotator approximation contradicts the results based on the systematic semiclassical analysis corresponding to the large-N_c limit. We modify the model (107) by adding a second particle which interacts with the first one via a quadratic pair potential:

$$L = \frac{\mu_1 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_1^2 + \mu_2 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_2^2}{2} - e(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_1)) - V(|\mathbf{x}_1|) - \frac{1}{2} k \left(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\right)^2 . \tag{H.1}$$

Note that this second particle does not interact with the magnetic field and with the potential V.

Similar to Eqs. (108), (109), we assume the standard semiclassical behavior inspired by the large-N_c limit

$$\mu_k, eg, V, k \sim N_c . \tag{H.2}$$

Let us assume that potential V has a minimum at some point $R \neq 0$:

$$V'(R) = 0 . \tag{H.3}$$

Then the static solutions of the equations of motion are described by the conditions

$$\mathbf{x}_1^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}_2^{(0)} , \quad |\mathbf{x}_1^{(0)}| = R . \tag{H.4}$$

Using the rotational invariance we can direct $\mathbf{x}_1^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}_2^{(0)}$ along the third axis. Now let us write the linearized equations of motion for small deviations from the static solution $\delta\mathbf{x}_k(t) = \mathbf{x}_k(t) - \mathbf{x}_k^{(0)}$. The transverse part (orthogonal to the third axis) does not mix with the longitudinal part. It is convenient to use complex coordinates z_k in the transverse plane instead of $\delta\mathbf{x}_k^\perp$

$$\delta\mathbf{x}_k^\perp(t) \to z_k e^{i\omega t} . \tag{H.5}$$

Then the linearized equations of motion take the form

$$-\mu_1\omega^2 z_1 + h_0\omega z_1 + k(z_1 - z_2) = 0 , \tag{H.6}$$

$$-\mu_2\omega^2 z_2 - k(z_1 - z_2) = 0 , \tag{H.7}$$

where

$$h_0 = \frac{eg}{R^2} . \tag{H.8}$$

Equations of motion (H.6), (H.7) lead to the following equation for the frequency ω

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1\omega^2 - h_0\omega - k & k \\ k & \mu_2\omega^2 - k \end{pmatrix} = 0 . \tag{H.9}$$

Apart from the trivial solution $\omega = 0$ one has three roots of the cubic equation

$$\omega = k\left[(\mu_1\omega - h_0)^{-1} + (\mu_2\omega)^{-1}\right] . \tag{H.10}$$

These three roots determine the spectrum of the harmonic excitations.

Let us show that this spectrum of harmonic excitations differs from the spectrum of the rigid-rotator Hamiltonian. Indeed, in the naive rigid-rotator approximation the solution (H.4) leads by analogy with Eq. (111) to the moment of inertia of the rigid rotator

$$I_{\mathrm{rot}} = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)\, R^2 . \tag{H.11}$$

If one inserts this moment of inertia into the Hamiltonian (112) and uses the quantization condition (113), then similar to Eq. (118) one arrives at the spectrum of harmonic excitations

$$E_\xi = \frac{eg}{I_{\mathrm{rot}}}\left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}\right) + O(1/N_c) , \quad \xi = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{H.12}$$

corresponding to the frequency

$$\omega_{\rm rot} = \frac{eg}{I_{\rm rot}} = \frac{h_0}{\mu_1 + \mu_2}\,. \tag{H.13}$$

But this frequency is different from the three roots of the cubic equation (H.10). Only in the limit $k \to \infty$ (restoring the rigidity of the system) one of the roots of equation (H.10) asymptotically approaches the frequency (H.13). But in a general case the rigid-rotator approximation has nothing to do with the true spectrum of harmonic excitations which is determined by equations (102), (105).

---

[1] T. Nakano et al., Talk at the PANIC02 conference (Oct. 2002, Osaka);
T. Nakano et al. (LEPS collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett., 91 (2003) 012002.
[2] V. A. Shebanov, Talk at the Session of the Nuclear Physics Division of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Dec. 3, 2002, Moscow);
V. V. Barmin et al. (DIANA collaboration), Phys. Atom. Nucl., 66 (2003) 1715.
[3] V. Kubarovsky and S. Stepanyan (for the CLAS collaboration), Talk at the Conference on Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP2003, May 19-24, 2003, New York), hep-ex/0307088;
S. Stepanyan, K. Hicks et al., hep-ex/0307018.
[4] J. Barth *et al.* (SAPHIR collaboration), hep-ex/0307083.
[5] A. E. Asratyan, A. G. Dolgolenko and M. A. Kubantsev, hep-ex/0309042.
[6] M. Praszałowicz, talk at "Workshop on Skyrmions and Anomalies", M. Jeżabek and M. Praszałowicz editors, World Scientific 1987, page 112.
M. Praszałowicz, Phys. Lett. 575B (2003) 234.
[7] D. I. Diakonov, V. Yu. Petrov and M. V. Polyakov, Z. Phys. A359 (1997) 305.
[8] T. D. Cohen, hep-ph/0309111.
[9] T. D. Cohen and R. F. Lebed, hep-ph/0309150.
[10] T. D. Cohen, D. C. Dakin, A. Nellore and R. F. Lebed, hep-ph/0310120.
[11] N. Itzhaki, I. R. Klebanov, P. Ouyang and L. Rastelli, hep-ph/0309305.
[12] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 57.
[13] T. H. R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A260 (1961) 127.
[14] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 433.
[15] A. P. Balachandran, V. P. Nair, S. G. Rajeev, and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. D27 (1983) 1153.
[16] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 87; Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 1795.
[17] R. F. Dashen and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. 315B (1993) 425; Phys. Lett. 315B (1993) 438.
[18] E. Jenkins, Phys. Lett. 315B (1993) 441.
[19] R. F. Dashen, E. Jenkins, and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. 49 (1994) 4713; Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 3697.
[20] D. I. Diakonov and V. Yu. Petrov, hep-ph/0309203.
[21] E. Guadagnini, Nucl. Phys. B236 (1984) 35.
[22] P. Ring and P. Schuck, The Nuclear Many-Body Problem, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
[23] D. J. Thouless and J. G. Valatin, Nucl. Phys. 31 (1962) 211.
[24] D. R. Inglis, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 1059.
[25] Z. Duliński and M. Praszałowicz, Acta Phys. Polon. B18 (1988) 1157.
[26] A. J. Glick, H. J. Lipkin and N. Meshkov, Nucl. Phys. 62 (1965) 211.
[27] H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov and A. J. Glick, Nucl. Phys. 62 (1965) 188.
[28] D. I. Diakonov, V. Yu. Petrov and P. V. Pobylitsa, Nucl. Phys. B306 (1988) 809.
[29] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 345; ibid. 124 (1961) 246.